


Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

|                        |                   |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Application Number     | 10/530,467        |
| Filing Date            | 04/06/2005        |
| First Named Inventor   | Brett Dunstan     |
| Art Unit               | 3676              |
| Examiner Name          | Lloyd A. Gall     |
| Attorney Docket Number | SD0986US (#90397) |

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

**ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)**

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form<br><input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply<br><input type="checkbox"/> After Final<br><input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request<br><input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers<br><input type="checkbox"/> Petition<br><input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation<br><input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address<br><input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer<br><input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund<br><input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____<br><input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC<br><input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences<br><input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information<br><input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):<br>-Response to Interview Summary<br>-Postcard |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Remarks   |  |  |

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**


|              |                           |          |        |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------|--------|
| Firm Name    | D. Peter Hochberg Co. LPA |          |        |
| Signature    |                           |          |        |
| Printed name | Daniel J. Smola           |          |        |
| Date         |                           | Reg. No. | 61,631 |

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING**

|   |                  |      |          |
|---|------------------|------|----------|
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: |                  |      |          |
| Signature   |                  |      |          |
| Typed or printed name   | Christine Kotran | Date | 3/5/2008 |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450, on the date noted below:

Date:

3/5/2008

Christine Kotran

Christine Kotran

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants : Brett Dunstan

Serial No. : 10/530,467 Confirmation No. : 2977

Filed : 04/06/2005

Title : SECURITY DOOR AND FRAME CONSTRUCTION

Group Art Unit. : 3676 Examiner: Lloyd A. Gall

Attorney Docket : SD0986US (#90397)

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Reply to Interview Summary Issued February 11, 2008**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Interview Summary issued February 11, 2008, in connection with this application, please find submitted herewith a summary of the interview to be made of record under MPEP Section 713.04.


## Interview Summary

In a telephone interview with Examiner Lloyd Gall on February 5, 2008, the Examiner and the undersigned discussed the filing a divisional application. Specifically, the undersigned and the Examiner discussed whether certain features of the drawings, which had been subject to a restriction requirement, could be claimed in a divisional application based on Figures 9 and 10, even though these items were not shown in Figs. 9 and 10. For example, the undersigned and Examiner discussed whether the hooks and slots on the door could be claimed in a divisional application on Figs. 9 and 10. The undersigned pointed out that support existed in the description for including these hooks and slots in the divisional claims even though the hooks were not shown in Figs. 9 and 10. Specifically, paragraph [0048] states "the hooks 48 extend from door 16 which engage and disengage the slots 46 as the door 16 opens and closes" and the nothing else in the specification restricts these hooks and slots to be limited to the embodiment of Figs. 1-8 only. The Examiner agreed with the undersigned that support, at first glance, did exist in the description. Therefore, the Examiner agreed with the undersigned that as long as support existed in the description for the divisional claim elements, any divisional claims including these elements would be proper, even if not shown in Figs. 9 and 10.

Since support does indeed exist, we would appreciate an indication from the Examiner that a divisional application including claims reciting such hooks and slots can be filed.

Date: March 5, 2008  
D. Peter Hochberg Co., L.P.A.  
1940 E. 6<sup>th</sup> St. - 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, OH 44114  
(216) 771-3800

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Daniel J. Smola  
Reg. No. 61,631